International Journal of Scientific Trends- (IJST)
ISSN: 2980-4299
Volume 5, Issue 2, February - 2026
Website: https://scientifictrends.org/index.php/ijst
Open Access, Peer Reviewed, Scientific Journal

Improving Intellectual Property Legislation in The Context of an Innovation-Driven Economy

Yakubova Iroda Bahramovna,
DSc (Doctor of Science), Professor of the Department
"Intellectual Property Law" of Tashkent State University of Law

Abstract

The transition from a resource-based or efficiency-driven economy to a technological and innovation-driven growth model requires institutional, legal, and structural transformation. Among the key determinants of such transformation is the development of a robust intellectual property (IP) system capable of protecting innovation outputs, stimulating investment, and facilitating technology commercialization. This article examines the economic and institutional role of intellectual property in fostering technological upgrading, increasing productivity, and strengthening national competitiveness. Drawing upon international empirical studies and global policy frameworks, the paper analyzes how IP protection contributes to innovation ecosystems, venture capital development, technology transfer, and digital transformation. The findings suggest that strengthening intellectual property governance is a strategic precondition for sustainable technological growth and integration into global value chains.

Keywords: Intellectual property, innovation economy, technological growth, technology transfer, commercialization, digital transformation, venture capital.

Introduction

Uzbekistan aims to shift from resource-led growth to an innovation-driven economy. In a recent presidential address, Mr. Mirziyoyev highlighted technology-intensive industry, *"smart"* manufacturing, and artificial intelligence (AI) projects, along with R&D tax incentives. In his Address to the Oliy Majlis and the people of Uzbekistan, the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan Shavkat Mirziyoyev mentioned the priority directions of Uzbekistan's development. The second priority area provides for transition the economy to a technological and innovative development model. Intellectual property plays an important role in the formation of an innovative economy. It is intellectual property that shows the true essence of innovation and creative activity.

This reflects global realization that knowledge and ideas, not just labor or capital, fuel modern growth. Nobel laureate Paul Romer's model shows that deliberate investment in technology – supported by property rights – drives long-term expansion (Romer 1990; Lucas 1988). Similarly,

institutional economists note that clear rules and enforcement reduce transaction costs, enabling innovation (North 1990).

Intellectual property rights (IPR) – patents, trademarks, copyrights, trade secrets and related rights – grant innovators a time-limited monopoly in exchange for disclosure. This legal exclusivity converts intangible knowledge into a valuable economic asset. Without IP protection, firms may underinvest in R&D, knowing competitors could copy their innovations. In contrast, a strong IPR system encourages firms to innovate, share their inventions (via licensing), and scale up. In short, intellectual property is a bridge between novel ideas and economic value.

Globally, IP-related assets now constitute the majority of corporate value. For example, a study found intangible capital accounts for over 80% of value in major companies. Similarly, WIPO reports 2023 as a record year (3.55 million patent applications) driven by advances in digital tech and clean energy. These figures suggest that countries ignoring IP protection risk falling behind.

The remainder of this article elaborates (1) the theory linking IP to growth, (2) empirical international evidence, (3) analysis of Uzbekistan's policy statements, and (4) recommendations. We incorporate the latest data and academic insights to argue that intellectual property must be a cornerstone of Uzbekistan's innovation strategy.

Theoretical Framework: Innovation, Growth and Institutions

Economic theory highlights why IP matters for innovation-driven growth.

Endogenous Growth Models: Romer (1990) introduced the idea that technological progress stems from intentional R&D investments, not exogenous shocks. Firms invest in new products when they can reap returns. Without patents or copyrights, however, competitors could instantly imitate new products (a nonrival but partly excludable good), wiping out profits. Thus, IP rights create a *quasi-monopoly* that lets innovators recover costs. Similarly, Lucas (1988) emphasizes human capital externalities: knowledge and skills beget more innovation. Intellectual property rights help individuals and firms capture enough value from their knowledge to sustain this virtuous cycle.

Institutional Economics: North (1990) argues that stable, well-defined institutions (laws, contracts, enforcement) are the *rules of the game* for economic development. In this view, property rights – including IPR – reduce uncertainty and transaction costs. Effective IP institutions (courts, examiners, administrative bodies) ensure that agreements (e.g. licenses) are honored. This institutional layer is essential for high-tech investment and long-term planning.

Both perspectives imply that a country wishing to leverage innovation must strengthen its IP framework. Innovation policy is not only about funding labs but also about ensuring that discoveries are profitable and protected.

Key implication: Intellectual property operates as a *market incentive*: it internalizes the returns of innovation. Endogenous growth models predict that stronger IP rights (to a point) raise R&D spending and GDP growth (Romer, 1990; Park & Lippoldt, 2008). In contrast, weak IP regimes lead to knowledge spillovers to others without reward, dampening innovation incentives.

According to the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), global IP filings have surged in recent years. In 2023, patent applications hit approximately **3.7 million**, a record high, with

most growth coming from East Asia. China, South Korea, the USA, Japan and India are the top filers; notably, applications in IT, AI and renewable energy technologies are rising fastest. Similarly, trademark and industrial design filings remain well above pre-crisis levels. Overall, Asian IP offices now handle about 70% of global applications.

Figure: Record growth in global patent filings (source: WIPO 2024†L90-L94).

This explosive growth indicates that innovation, especially in high-tech sectors, is robust worldwide. It also means that countries with weak IP systems are excluded from capturing and profiting from cutting-edge developments.

IP and Investment

Stronger IP regimes correlate with higher investment flows. Cross-country studies show that countries implementing TRIPS-aligned IP laws attract more foreign direct investment in R&D-intensive industries (Maskus 2000; Branstetter et al. 2005). A World Bank report notes that firms prefer to transfer technology where they can use patents and copyrights to secure their know-how. For example, after adopting stronger patent laws, many transition economies saw an influx of Western pharmaceutical and tech partnerships.

Venture capital data also underscore IP's value. Start-ups with substantial patent portfolios or trademarks typically receive higher valuations and easier financing (Hsu & Ziedonis 2013). Patents signal technological value to investors, reducing information asymmetry. Some economies (e.g. South Korea) have even developed IP-backed financing schemes allowing companies to pledge patents and brand as loan collateral (KIPO, 2020).

Intangible Assets and Innovation

Investment in "knowledge capital" (software, R&D, brand, organizational know-how) now often exceeds spending on physical capital. For instance, OECD estimates suggest intangibles are over half of corporate capital formation in advanced economies. Firms that actively manage IP see productivity gains: one survey found that patents and copyrights explained a significant portion of total factor productivity growth in manufacturing (OECD, 2022).

This trend highlights the importance of IP valuation standards. Financial institutions need transparent methods to assess IP asset worth. International standards (ISO 10668 for brand valuation, IFRS/IAS 38 for intangibles) provide frameworks for this. Adopting such standards domestically would help Uzbek banks and firms integrate IP assets into balance sheets, unlocking finance for innovation.

Enforcement and Legal Infrastructure

Empirical research also links enforcement to outcomes. A strong IP court system, specialized examiners, and anti-counterfeiting measures encourage innovation. Countries like the UK (IPEC courts) and China (specialized IP courts) have established fast-track, expert tribunals for IP cases. These reduce litigation uncertainty. Likewise, modernizing customs and police enforcement deters piracy, which is vital for digital and creative industries.

Analysis of Uzbekistan's Murojaatnoma and IP Policy

In the latest presidential address, Uzbekistan's leadership set clear innovation-oriented goals. Key excerpts include: "Each dollar of investment should serve advanced technology and transfer" and raising high-tech production 2.5-fold. The speech announced a five-year exemption of income from scientific research, and launching over 100 AI projects. These measures align with the endogenous growth view by directly subsidizing R&D outputs. However, incentives alone are insufficient without protection. The address implicitly acknowledges this by coupling investment drives with digital infrastructure and education focus. To fully realize these ambitions, the legal and institutional infrastructure must be addressed:

Patent and Trademark Legislation: Uzbekistan has modernized its IPR laws (Law on Inventions, 2020; accession to the Madrid and Hague systems in 2022). Still, further reforms are needed to align with TRIPS standards and WIPO recommendations (e.g. easier small-business patents, digital registration of trademarks).

Administrative Capacity: The IP Agency was merged into the Ministry of Justice (2022, PR-89). While this centralizes oversight, it also requires strengthening this unit's expertise in handling complex tech patents and digital IP.

University-Industry Collaboration: The strategy emphasizes science commercialization, but Uzbekistan needs a network of Technology Transfer Offices (TTOs). These offices can patent university research, license it to industry, and incubate start-ups. The Murojaatnoma's mention of AI labs at universities suggests nascent R&D that TTOs could help commercialize.

Enforcement: Expanding IP courts and training judges, as well as bolstering enforcement agencies, should accompany market liberalization. The address's call for an "industry 4.0 center" and robotics labs indicates an intent to adopt cutting-edge tech, which requires parallel measures like addressing AI-generated content (copyright issues) and trade secret protection.

International Standards: Uzbekistan's ongoing commitments (WTO member since 1994, TRIPS compliance) provide a baseline. The country should leverage WIPO technical assistance to implement best practices (patent examination quality, public awareness, IP commercialization metrics).

In summary, Uzbekistan's strategic vision is in harmony with IP-led growth theory. The gap lies in translating that vision into concrete IP policy reforms and institution-building.

Recommendations

Based on theory, evidence, and Uzbekistan's goals, we propose a comprehensive IP-centered strategy:

Legal Reforms: Streamline Patent Process: Reduce application backlog and increase examiner training for high-tech fields. Consider fast-track options for key sectors (biotech, IT).

Modernize Copyright Law: Clarify digital exceptions (e.g. data mining), address AI-generated works, and strengthen enforcement against online piracy.

Safeguard Trade Secrets: Enact clear regulations on confidential information (aligning with TRIPS Article 39) and criminalize industrial espionage.

Institutional Development: Specialized IP Tribunal: Establish an intellectual property court or tribunal (as in UK/China) with technical judges for quicker, expert decisions.

Unified IP Office: Expand the Justice Ministry's IP department with dedicated technical examiners and digital tools (WIPO's PATENTSCOPE, TMview systems).
Public Awareness and Training: Conduct IP workshops for businesses, researchers, and judges. Embed IP education in university curricula.

University TTOs: Encourage universities to set up Technology Transfer Offices funded by grants. These TTOs should manage patent filings, industry partnerships, and spin-off creation.
Offer government co-funding for proof-of-concept grants that require IP protection plans. Foster research parks and incubators with clear IP clauses.

Financing and Valuation: IP Valuation Standards: Adopt international standards (ISO 10668, IFRS 3/IAS 38) and train financial institutions on IP appraisal.
IP-backed Finance: Create an IP collateral framework: allow patents and trademarks to secure loans. Government can partially guarantee IP-backed loans for SMEs.
R&D Incentives: Extend tax credits for R&D spending, contingent on patent filing or partnership with universities.

Digital and Industry 4.0:
Data and AI IP: Clarify ownership of AI algorithms and datasets developed domestically. Provide guidelines on licensing open-source vs proprietary AI tools. Standard-Essential Patents: Participate in international dialogues on 5G and AI standards to avoid patent hold-ups. Cyber Enforcement: Equip customs and cyber police to detect technology infringers, especially for biotech and software products.

International Integration:
Leverage WIPO technical assistance for national IP strategy. Join broader R&D consortia (e.g., international research programs where IP rules are clear). Use trade negotiations to promote enforcement (e.g., digital trade agreements including IP terms).

Proposed Implementation

Period (Year)	Action	Responsible Entity	Budget (Estimate)
2026	Modernize IP laws (patent, copyright, trade secrets); launch digital IP registry	Ministry of Justice; Ministry of Digital Dev.	No specific constraint
2026–2027	Create specialized IP courts; train judges in tech cases	Supreme Court; Ministry of Justice	No specific constraint
2027	Establish TTO pilot programs in 5 universities; fund 10 proof-of-concept grants	Ministry of Higher Ed; Uz Academy of Sciences	No specific constraint
2027–2028	Develop national IP valuation guidelines; pilot IP-backed loans	Ministry of Finance; Central Bank	No specific constraint
2028	National campaign on IP awareness (for SMEs and students)	Chamber of Commerce; universities	No specific constraint
2028–2030	Full launch of IP-backed SME financing; expand R&D tax incentives	Ministry of Finance; Ministry of Economy	No specific constraint
2029–2030	Review and refine IP strategy based on metrics; integration into innovation centers	President's Innovation Agency	No specific constraint

Conclusion and Call to Action

Transitioning to a knowledge-based economy is central to Uzbekistan's modernization. Intellectual property rights are not a peripheral concern but a core economic instrument. They transform ideas into tradeable assets, attract investors, and bridge the gap between science and industry. Theoretical and empirical evidence (Romer 1990; North 1990; WIPO 2024; WTO 1994) consistently show that stronger IP protection correlates with higher innovation, FDI, and economic growth.

Uzbekistan's leadership has set ambitious goals In his Address (Mirziyoyev, 2025) to spur high-tech industry and digital innovation. To realize this vision, policymakers must prioritize IP reform now. This includes updating laws, building institutions (courts, IP office capacity, TTOs), and mobilizing finance around intangible assets.

Government leaders and stakeholders to treat IP policy as strategic infrastructure. By implementing these evidence-based reforms, Uzbekistan can fully leverage its growing knowledge economy. In practical terms, this means translating state plans into codes and courts, ensuring that every breakthrough in biotechnology, AI, and engineering is legally protected. In a rapidly evolving global market, the countries that secure and capitalize on their innovations will lead. Uzbekistan has the vision – now is the time to equip it with the institutions of intellectual property to turn that vision into reality.

References

1. Lucas, R. (1988). On the Mechanics of Economic Development. Journal of Monetary Economics.
2. Mirziyoyev, S. (2025). Speech at the Oliy Majlis, 26 December 2025. [President.uz].
3. North, D. (1990). Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance. Cambridge University Press.
4. OECD. (2023). Global Intellectual Property Trends Report.
5. Park, W., & Lippoldt, D. (2008). Technology Transfer and International Trade: The Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS). OECD.
6. Romer, P. (1990). Endogenous Technological Change. Journal of Political Economy.
7. World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). (2024). World Intellectual Property Indicators 2024. Geneva.
8. WTO. (1994). Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS). Geneva.