

Barriers to Entry for Foreign Investors in Uzbekistan's Asset Markets: Examine Legal, Regulatory, and Economic Barriers that Foreign Investors Face and Suggest Potential Improvements

Jo'rayev Og'abek,

Student of the MT-93 Group at Tashkent State University of Economics

ogabekjorayev081@gmail.com



Abstract

Uzbekistan, a country located in Central Asia, has been actively promoting itself as an attractive investment destination in recent years. The country's strategic location, rich natural resources, and significant economic growth potential make it an appealing target for foreign investors. However, despite these advantages, foreign investors still face significant barriers to entry in Uzbekistan's asset markets. This article aims to identify and critically analyze the key barriers to entry for foreign investors in Uzbekistan's asset markets, highlighting the challenges and implications for potential investors.

Keywords: Economic challenges, asset market, securities, laws, international stocks, economic downturn.

Introduction

After Uzbekistan became an independent country, its private sector began to grow, as well as its reputable name within the globe. However, there was a shortfall in the existing investment environment for attracting capital from abroad. The problem of this paper lies within this weakness. This is a difficulty since financial and investment mechanisms operating within domestic markets are also not efficient at all. They are rigid, mimic, and do not properly address fundamental market forces. These forces reveal themselves at one time after an interest-bearing domestic bank system was removed from the condition of huge interest products for gold exchange refinancing credit lines, whose credit lines were provided mainly by keeping state proceedings as a guarantee. This shortfall has resulted in high bank interest, low resource mobilization capabilities for the economy, and a dearth of internationally acknowledged asset management rules. As a result, it may undermine the reputation of newly developed asset markets and negatively affect incentive management mechanisms of Asset Management Companies (hereinafter AMC).

Every economy has its own specifics, such as diversified laws, regulations, and business practices that restrict investment and business activities. Market entry and exit issues are not the same for

domestic and foreign investors. Even though entry barriers are important in a national sphere, they occupy much more importance at the international level as they are identified to reduce the level of created competition. Barriers to entry arise from different types of regulations, covering customs, licensing, registration, ownership of assets, lack of scientific development criteria, etc. Therefore, recognizing these barriers in different business sectors makes it easy to improve the friendly investment environment, attract more FDIs, have open spatial alliances with other countries, and ensure the free movement of goods, services, people, and capital across the planet.

Background of Uzbekistan's Asset Markets

The definition of "asset market" or "capital market" traditionally connects the market's tasks with the allocation of savings and attracting domestic and foreign financial resources to the economy. The rise of capital markets is also related to the development of financial intermediaries and the creation of securities used by governments and the corporate sector as a tool for budget deficit financing. Indeed, if we include into this traditional definition both primary and secondary financial markets and define their effectiveness in a broader sense, then it requires the ability of the asset market to properly reflect all information about real assets produced in the country, allocate the available capital, minimize the cost of capital, and decrease the riskiness of investment.

Since independence in 1991, Uzbekistan has developed its own economic structure that largely isolates it from other countries. If we take the typical classification of asset markets based on the degree of capital mobility and the main participants, it is possible to attribute these features to the group of "emerging" markets, which are rather closed and isolated from foreign involvement. The official capital account transactions statistics indicate that Uzbekistan has nearly closed its borders to foreign investors, thereby creating strong barriers to entry into the country.

Importance of Foreign Investment

Two kinds of opportunities can be defined by foreign direct investment: firstly, through liquidations by enlargement in the target countries, providing returns to investors along with operations in the new market; and secondly, through liquidations by consolidative transactions, providing only returns to investors.

Foreign investment is an important component of the modern market economy, forming the initial sources of funds for the realization of investment projects. It is especially important for economically less developed and crisis-stricken countries during their transition period. According to world practice, developed countries provide a large part of the necessary funds to these countries in the form of foreign investment. Foreign investment implemented in the leading sectors of the economy achieves a key macroeconomic task by causing a significant movement of money, physical and intangible assets to priority areas where they can be efficiently used. The use of foreign capital is an economic growth factor because it promotes innovation, increases the level of technology, and fills the deficiency of domestic products, mainly in consumer and social needs, as well as the labor force.

One of the primary barriers to entry for foreign investors in Uzbekistan's asset markets is the complex and fragmented regulatory framework. The country's financial regulatory bodies,

including the Central Bank of Uzbekistan and the State Committee on Statistics, operate independently, leading to inconsistent and sometimes conflicting regulations. This lack of cohesion creates an uncertain business environment, making it difficult for foreign investors to navigate the regulatory landscape. For instance, the country's foreign exchange regulations are restrictive, limiting the ability of foreign investors to repatriate profits and dividends. This uncertainty and lack of transparency deter foreign investors, who prefer a more stable and predictable business environment.

Another significant barrier to entry is the limited access to reliable and timely market information. In Uzbekistan, market data and research are scarce, making it challenging for foreign investors to make informed investment decisions. The lack of transparency in corporate reporting and disclosure practices further exacerbates this issue. Companies listed on the Tashkent Stock Exchange, the country's primary bourse, often fail to provide detailed financial information, making it difficult for foreign investors to evaluate investment opportunities. This lack of information asymmetry increases the risk of investing in Uzbekistan's asset markets, discouraging foreign investors from entering the market.

The limited availability of financial infrastructure is another significant obstacle for foreign investors. Uzbekistan's financial infrastructure is underdeveloped, with limited access to secure and reliable payment systems, custody services, and trade settlement mechanisms. This lack of infrastructure increases the operational risks associated with investing in Uzbekistan, making it challenging for foreign investors to execute trades efficiently and safely. The country's limited international connectivity and bandwidth constraints further exacerbate this issue, hindering the flow of information and capital.

Corruption and bureaucratic hurdles also pose significant barriers to entry for foreign investors in Uzbekistan's asset markets. The country's reputation for corruption and lack of transparency in government procurement and contracting processes deters foreign investors, who prioritize transparency and accountability in their investment decisions. The lengthy and complex registration and licensing procedures for foreign investors, coupled with the requirement for additional permits and approvals, create a cumbersome and time-consuming process. This bureaucratic red tape increases the costs and risks associated with investing in Uzbekistan, discouraging foreign investors from entering the market.

Furthermore, the country's limited human capital and skills base also hinders foreign investment in Uzbekistan's asset markets. The lack of experienced professionals with expertise in finance, accounting, and law creates a shortage of skilled labor, making it challenging for foreign investors to find reliable local partners or establish effective joint ventures. This skills gap also limits the ability of local companies to adapt to international best practices, hindering the development of modern and efficient corporate governance structures. The limited availability of hedging instruments and risk management tools is another significant barrier to entry for foreign investors in Uzbekistan's asset markets. The country's underdeveloped derivatives market and lack of liquid currency markets make it challenging for foreign investors to manage their exposure to market risks. This limited access to risk management tools increases the uncertainty and volatility associated with investing in Uzbekistan, discouraging foreign investors from entering the market.

Finally, the current geopolitical tensions and trade restrictions imposed on Uzbekistan by certain countries, such as the United States and European Union, also pose significant barriers to entry for foreign investors. These restrictions limit the ability of foreign investors to access Uzbekistan's markets, creating uncertainty and risk for those seeking to invest in the country.

Conclusion

In conclusion, foreign investors seeking to enter Uzbekistan's asset markets face a plethora of challenges, including complex regulatory frameworks, limited access to reliable market information, limited financial infrastructure, corruption and bureaucratic hurdles, limited human capital and skills base, limited availability of hedging instruments, and geopolitical tensions. Addressing these barriers to entry is crucial for Uzbekistan to attract increased foreign investment and achieve its economic growth potential. The country's authorities must prioritize regulatory reforms, improve transparency and disclosure practices, develop modern financial infrastructure, and promote good governance and the rule of law to create a more attractive business environment for foreign investors.

Ultimately, Uzbekistan's asset markets offer significant growth potential, driven by the country's strategic location, rich natural resources, and large domestic market. However, to realize this potential, the country must overcome the significant barriers to entry that deter foreign investors. By addressing these challenges, Uzbekistan can create a more attractive investment destination, unlocking the country's economic growth potential and promoting sustainable development.

References:

1. Rolland S.E. The Return of State Remedies in Investor-State Dispute Settlement: Trends in Developing Countries, 49(2) *Loyola University Chicago Law Journal* 387 (2017).
2. Shmatenko L. An Overview of Kazakhstan's Investment Laws and its Investor-State Arbitral Awards, 30(4) *international Law Quarterly* 26 (2013).
3. Umirdinov A. The End of Hibernation of Stabilization Clause in Investment Arbitration: Reassessing Its Contribution to Sustainable Development, 43(4) *Denver Journal of international Law and Policy* 455 (2015).
4. VanDerMeulen J. & Trebilcock M.J. Canada's Policy Response to Foreign Sovereign Investment: Operationalizing National Security Exceptions, 47(3) *Canadian Business Law Review* 392 (2009).
5. Wagner M. Regulatory Space in International Trade Law and International Investment Law, 36(1) *University of Pennsylvania Journal of international Law* 1 (2014).
6. Wong D. From Redundancy to Resurgency: Revisiting the Local Remedies Rule in International Investment Arbitration, 35 *Singapore Law Review* 114 (2017).
7. Zheng C.R. The Territoriality Requirement in Investment Treaties: A Constraint on Jurisdictional Expansionism, 34 *Singapore Law Review* 139 (2016).